



February 22, 2012

Requests for Continuances

4. *Petition of **SONYA EDWARDS** for a hearing on her **discharge**, effective June 17, 2009, from the position of Children's Social Worker III, Department of Children and Family Services, **Case No. 09-1235**.*

Findings are submitted reducing the discharge to a letter of reprimand and also imposing a five (5) day suspension, and department's objections thereto (Hearing Officer: Daniel R. Saling). This was continued from the meeting of January 12, 2011, in order for the Commission to read the record. CONTINUE FOR A FULL COMMISSION.

6. *Petition of **CESAR RINCON** for a hearing on his **discharge**, effective January 13, 2010, from the position of Senior Regional Planning Assistant, Department of Regional Planning, **Case No. 10-25**.*

Findings are submitted sustaining the Department, and petitioner's objections thereto (Hearing Officer: Philip Tamoush). This was continued from the meeting of January 11, 2012, at the request of Blaine Meek, representative for the petitioner, in order for the petitioner to be present. CONTINUE AT THE REQUEST OF JANINE MCMILLION, ATTORNEY FOR THE DEPARTMENT, IN ORDER FOR HER TO BE PRESENT.

7. *Petition of **MARCO RIVERA** for a hearing on his ten (10) day **suspension**, effective November 15, 2010, from the position of Eligibility Worker II, Department of Public Social Services, **Case No. 10-486**.*

Department's objections to the new proposed decision to reduce the suspension to five (5) days. (Hearing Officer: Isabelle Gunning). (On December 14, 2011, the Commission sustained the petitioner's objections, in part, and announced as its new proposed decision to reduce the suspension to five (5) days. Commissioners Adkins and Kahwajian dissented.) CONTINUE AT THE REQUEST OF THE PETITIONER, FOR A FULL COMMISSION.

Consent Calendar

8. *Petition of **PARIS SAMPSON** for a hearing on her **discharge**, effective November 30, 2011, from the position of Central Services Technician II, Department of Health Services, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, **Case No. 11-394**. GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:*

- Are the allegations contained in the department's letter of November 30, 2011, true?
- If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?

9. *Petitioner of **TIRRELL THOMAS** for a hearing on his **discharge**, effective December 12, 2011, from the position of Custody Assistant, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 11-398**. GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:*

- Are the allegations contained in the department's letter of December 14, 2011, true?
- If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?

13. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on his **suspension** not to exceed thirty (30) days following judgment of a criminal matter, effective November 18, 2011, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 11-397**. GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:*
 - Is there sufficient nexus between the criminal charges filed against appellant and the duties of appellant's position to support imposition of the non-disciplinary suspension of appellant as set forth by the Department in its letter dated November 18, 2011?

14. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on his **discharge**, effective December 21, 2011, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 11-399**. GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:*
 - Are the allegations contained in the department's letter of December 22, 2011, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?

15. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on his **discharge**, effective December 12, 2011, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 11-396**. GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:*
 - Are the allegations contained in the department's letter of December 15, 2011, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?

16. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on his **discharge**, effective December 5, 2011, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 11-395**. GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:*
 - Are the allegations contained in the department's letter of December 2, 2011, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?